

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/861,326	05/21/97	TIDRICK	G	93214003

PM11/0619

PAUL F WILLE FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE SUITE 2600 PHOENIX AZ 85012-2913 EXAMINER BUCCI, D.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3617

DATE MAILED: 06/19/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

100

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet	beneath the	correspondence a	ddress		
Period for Response	,	:				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u>	MON	NTH(S) FROM THE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, b 	response within the statuult, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum o S from the mai	of thirty (30) days will be ling date of this commur	considered timely.		
Status		<u>}</u>				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is FINAL.		}				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			to the merits is clo	sed in		
Disposition of Claims		į				
Claim(s)	is/a:	} _ is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)						
X Claim(s) 1-6	is/aı	1				
A Claim(s) 7-9))				
□ Claim(s)	ì					
☐ Claim(s)	l					
Application Papers	1	uirement.				
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948)				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disappro	oved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				•		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		{				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 	ne priority documents I	nave be en				
received in this national stage application from the Inter	•	-	a)).			
*Certified copies not received:			·			
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)	Interview Su	ummary, PTO-413			
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Noti			formal Patent Applic	ation, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3 🗆	Other.		<u> </u>		
Office	Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Part of Paper No._____

Serial Number: 08/861,326

Art Unit:

1. The specification is objected to because on line 17 of page 5, "23" should be --53--.

Correction is required.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of "means" on lines 6, 7, and 9. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 3, "23" should be --24-- (this correction should also be made at lines 17 and 19 of page 7). Correction is required.
- 5. Claim 8 sirrejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is confusing because a "lifting force" cannot aid in lowering the ramp.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Serial Number: 08/861,326

Page 3

Art Unit:

7. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rice.

Rice shows an apparatus comprising: an electric motor 13; and energy storage means

24a.

9. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

10. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D. Bucci at

telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Bucci:e.h.

June 17, 1998

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 317